

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DYLAN CORRAL,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:22-cr-00048-JCC-TLF

ORDER FOR EVIDENTIARY
HEARING CONCERNING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
HYBRID REPRESENTATION

The District Court has referred, under Fed. R. Crim. P. 59, Defendant Dylan Corral's motion for hybrid representation. Dkt. 90, 91. The Government has responded and pointed out that hybrid representation is allowed, only if the defendant waives his right to counsel. Dkt. 92.

The Court orders an evidentiary hearing. The defendant has not submitted a request to discharge his lawyer and proceed as his own attorney, pro se. Yet he has asked for hybrid representation, and this would necessarily entail a hearing to advise Mr. Corral to ensure he is knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily deciding to proceed

1 without representation of counsel. Stand-by counsel may be appointed to advise a pro
2 se defendant in a criminal case. A hearing on any request for hybrid representation
3 would be required for the Court to fully consider his motion. *U.S. v. Kimmel*, 672 F.2d
4 720, 721-723 (9th Cir. 1982).

5 Dated this 14th day of August, 2023.

6 
7

8 Theresa L. Fricke
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24